May 5, 1975

(Senator Savage presiding)

SENATOR SAVAGE: Please record your presence. Please record your presence. Record Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Quorum is present, Mr. President.

Mr. President, an Attorney General's opinion will be inserted in the Journal. Opinion number 73. That's all Mr. President.

Mr. President, we're still on LB 344.

SENATOR SAVAGE: The last member, I have, that spoke was Senator Syas. Senator Goodrich is on the . . . the next name on the list.

CLERK: Well, Mr. President, that amendment was adopted. We now have a new amendment. It's another Marvel amendment identified as Marvel amendment number 3.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Senator Marvel.

SENATOR MARVEL: The purpose of this third amendment was the same as the one I referred to when we discussed the bill the other day. Specifically, instead of creating 6 technical colleges you create 6 technical college areas. Specifically, I refer to the central area where 344, and I was trying to be as frank as I could at the moment, set up one technical college area with headquarters at Grand Island, Nebraska. The facilities at Hastings and Columbus were considered to be satellites. Same thing would be true in the other areas. All this amendment does is to indicate that instead of one technical college we have technical college areas. That's all the bill does.

(President Whelan presiding)

PRESIDENT: Senator Goodrich, oh.

SENATOR MARVEL: I move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Would Senator Marvel yield to a question please?

SENATOR MARVEL: I yield.

SENATOR GOODRICH: OK. What I'm curious about, and I am not in objection to this amendment. I have no problem with this amendment. My problem, frankly, comes with the first and second amendments as they were adopted. We have discussed the second one. The first amendment, for example, is the one where the local mill levy is going to be levied locally, but then they have to come to the state to determine what construction can go on. For example, get the permission of the Legislature. I'm curious to know, for example, if you have checked, does that particular amendment give us state control and consequently make the bill unconstitutional? Now actually that's the motivation for asking this particular question, that is, do you object to just leaving this particular bill, this motion for example that